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Reviewer #1:
(1) In discussing the evolution of the artemisinin resistance phenotype, the authors suggest that the two SNPs examined might be prerequisites for resistant mutations elsewhere in the genome. The authors also aptly draw a parallel with resistance to pyrimethamine and sulfadoxine, where the sequential accumulation of point mutations confers high-level resistance. I can't help but wonder whether the authors, in the context of this manuscript, can address which of the two SNPs associated with delayed parasite clearance might be selected first by ACT drug pressure. I find the Cambodian sample set to be extremely interesting, in that the two mutant SNPs show statistically significant non-random association not seen in the rest of the parasite genome. Given that the Cambodian samples were collected in three successive years from 2004 to 2006, is it possible to see a year-to-year increase in the frequency of either or both of these SNPs?

<Reply>
Thank for your suggestion. The analysis suggested by the reviewer is interesting. However, we could not find any trend of a year-to-year increase in the frequency of either or both of these SNPs. Please see the results bellow.

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MAL13-1718319

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MAL10-688956 + MAL13-1718319

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T/A</td>
<td>A/A</td>
<td>T/T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(2) The authors note the unexpectedly high prevalence of the MAL 10-688956 (A) allele in the Pacific countries, even before ACT was officially adopted as first-line treatment for malaria. Do the authors see evidence of gene flow (e.g. shared microsatellite alleles) between parasites from the Pacific and Southeast Asia, especially among parasites harboring this particular SNP? What do the authors think about the possibility that artemisinin resistance can evolve in the Pacific, independently of the Southeast Asian focus, given the prior example of chloroquine resistance?

<Reply>
We previously determined the microsatellites flanking drug-resistance genes pfcrt, dhps, and dhfr to investigate the possible gene flow between PNG and Southeast Asian countries (Antimicrobial Agent Chemother, 2007, J Infect Dis 2011, Malaria J 2012) and found that gene flow was evidenced in the dhfr and dhps mutants. However, human movement between Southeast Asia and Melanesia seems not to be frequent because of geographical obstacles. Therefore, the observed considerable frequencies of Southeast-origin resistant parasites in PNG are very likely to the infrequent migration from Southeast Asia to Pacific countries and subsequent selection due to the usage of SP in PNG.

In this analysis, however, we found high prevalences of MAL 10-688956 (A) allele in the Pacific countries (14% in Papua New Guinea, 18% in Vanuatu and 2% in the Solomon Islands). If we assume that the observed MAL 10-688956 (A) allele in the Pacific countries is because of the migration of this allele from Southeast Asian countries, it is difficult to explain the observed considerable prevalence of the allele, since artemisinin was not introduced as the first-line antimalarial.

So, we have changed the paragraph that discussed the unexpectedly high prevalence of the MAL 10-688956 (A) allele in the Pacific countries as follow.

(Original)
In the MAL10-688956, the delayed-clearance associated SNP may exist naturally in the parasite populations without any artemisinin selection. A considerable number of delayed-clearance associated SNPs were observed at MAL10-688956 in the Pacific region, despite the fact that artemisinin derivatives were not implemented at the time of sampling.

(Revised)
In the Pacific region, prevalences of the MAL10-688956 delayed-clearance associated SNP were unexpectedly high, although nearly all parasites harbored the non-delayed clearance associated SNP at MAL13-1718319. Artemisinin combination
therapies were not implemented at the time of sampling in any of the countries considered here. Hence, it seems likely that the MAL10-688956 delayed-clearance associated SNP is a parasite polymorphism that exists naturally in the parasite populations of this region, and was not, initially, selected by ACT pressure. The other possibility is the migration of the MAL10-688956 delayed-clearance associated SNP from Southeast Asia. However, since the prevalences of this SNP were high, this may be unlikely, especially in the absence of ACT selection pressure. Further analysis using microsatellite alleles flanking the SNP will clarify the possible migration of from the MAL10-688956 SNP to Southeast Asia.

Minor corrections/comments/suggestions

(1) Line 138: …in rural villages located on 4 islands…
<Reply>
We revised it according to the reviewer’s suggestion.

(2) Lines 158-9: …implementation of ACT except in Cambodia and…before the first official report of…
<Reply>
We revised it according to the reviewer’s suggestion.

(3) Lines 175-179: The names of restriction enzymes should be italicized. Since the SNPs are only associated with the delayed clearance phenotype, it might be more appropriate to describe the alleles as wild type (and mutant), instead of sensitive (and implicitly resistant).
<Reply>
The reviewer is absolutely correct that we should not say “sensitive” and “resistant”, then we were very careful only to refer to them as “delayed clearance associated SNP” and non-delayed clearance associated SNP”. However, since the terms, “mutant” and “wild-type”, only refer to SNPs linked to a phenotype that has changed between two isogenic parasite lines, we consider it may not be appropriate to refer to “mutant” and “wild-type” SNPs. Thus, we agree that we need to remove reference to “sensitive” and “resistant” parasites, but we don’t think we should replace with “mutant” and “wild-type”. We use the original nomenclature, “delayed clearance associated SNP” and non-delayed clearance associated SNP”.

(4) Lines 179-86: This part is very confusing to me. Was the sequencing done for all undigested amplicons of both loci? Was the sequencing done in only one direction using the reverse primer of the nested PCR? How did the sequencing reaction (lines 185-6)
involve two rounds of PCR reaction, when the previous sentence states that the PCR products were sequenced directly after purification?

<Reply>
We sequenced all undigested amplicons in only one direction using the reverse primer of the nested PCR. For the sequencing, we conducted initial and nested PCR using Gflex DNA Polymerase (Takara), but this looks detail and may cause misunderstanding, so we have deleted this.

(Original)
All undigested samples, in order to confirm the presence of mutant allele, nested PCR amplicons were purified with ExoSAP-IT Kit (Amersham Biosciences, Buckinghamshire, UK) and directly sequenced (50 cycles of 95 °C for 20 sec, 50 °C for 30 sec, and 60 °C for 1 min) in one direction using the reverse primer of the nested PCR (TTATATGTAATGGGTGAAAAGAATGTGG) with a BigDye Terminator 1.1 cycle sequencing kit in the Applied Biosystems 3500xL genetic analyzer (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, California, U.S.). For the sequence reaction, 0.4 unit of Gflex DNA Polymerase (Takara) was used in the both initial and nested PCR reactions.

(Revised)
In all undigested samples, in order to confirm the presence of mutant allele, nested PCR amplicons were purified with ExoSAP-IT Kit (Amersham Biosciences, Buckinghamshire, UK) and were directly sequenced (50 cycles of 95 °C for 20 sec, 50 °C for 30 sec, and 60 °C for 1 min) in one direction using the reverse primer of the nested PCR (TTATATGTAATGGGTGAAAAGAATGTGG) with a BigDye Terminator 1.1 cycle sequencing kit in the Applied Biosystems 3500xL genetic analyzer (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, California, U.S.).

(5) Lines 242-252: The authors use the terms "linkage" and "linkage disequilibrium" interchangeably, but these terms are not equivalent.
<Reply>
The terms "linkage" was rewritten to "linkage disequilibrium" accordingly.

(6) Line 263: In both countries,
<Reply>
We revised it according to the reviewer’s suggestion.

(7) Acronyms are used without prior definition, e.g. LD (line 206) = linkage
disequilibrium; GWAS (lines 280 and 283) = genome-wide association study
<Reply>
We revised it according to the reviewer’s suggestion.

(8) Figure 1A: The key in the figure is labelled incorrectly. It should say MAL 10-688956 instead of MAL 13-1718319.
<Reply>
We revised it according to the reviewer’s suggestion.

(9) Legends for figures 1 and 2: The colors used to represent different genotypes/genotype combinations, as stated in the legends, are different than the actual colors used in the figures.
<Reply>
We revised it according to the reviewer's suggestion.

Reviewer #2:

1. Figure 1 A contains serious mistakes of the SNP ID number. Correction is needed.
<Reply>
This error was also pointed out by the reviewer 1. We revised it.

2. In Introduction, line 91-92; The description "This suggests that the two proposed SNPs might be widely distributed, and their selection unrelated to ACT pressure" needs reference.
<Reply>
We revised it according to the reviewer’s suggestion.

3. If possible, the prevalence of PF3D7_1343700 kelch 324 propeller domain ('K13-propeller') gene in the analyzed samples should be included.
<Reply>
This is an interesting suggestion.
We have already started the analysis of K13-propella gene using same sample-set. We are thinking to assess the baseline polymorphisms of the gene and, more importantly, to investigate whether this gene was under positive selection using several population-genetics methods. Since the data-set will be enormous, we are hoping to submit the results as a separate publication.
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Abstract
The recent emergence and spread of artemisinin-resistant *Plasmodium falciparum* isolates is a growing concern for global malaria-control efforts. A recent genome-wide analysis study identified two SNPs at genomic positions MAL10-688956 and MAL13-1718319 which are linked to delayed clearance of parasites following artemisinin combination therapy (ACT). It is expected that continuous artemisinin pressure will affect the distribution of these SNPs. Here, we investigate the worldwide distribution of these SNPs using a large number of archived samples in order to generate baseline data from the period before the emergence of ACT resistance. The presence of SNPs in MAL10-688956 and MAL13-1718319 was assessed by nested PCR RFLP and direct DNA sequencing using 653 global *P. falciparum* samples obtained before the reported emergence of ACT resistance. SNPs at MAL10-688956 and MAL13-1718319 associated with delayed parasite clearance following ACT administration were observed in 8% and 3% of parasites, respectively, mostly in Cambodia and Thailand. Parasites harbouring both SNPs were found in only eight (1%) isolates, all of which were from Cambodia and Thailand. Linkage disequilibrium was detected between MAL10-688956 and MAL13-1718319, suggesting that this SNP combination may have been selected by ACT drug pressure. Neither of the SNPs associated with delayed parasite clearance were observed in samples from Africa or South America. Baseline information of the geographical difference of MAL10-688956 and MAL13-1718319 SNPs provides a solid basis for assessing whether these SNPs are selected by artemisinin-based combination therapies.

Keywords: *Plasmodium falciparum*, drug resistance, MAL10-688956, MAL13-1718319, Artemisinin combination therapy
1. Introduction

There were 207 million cases of malaria and 627,000 deaths due to the disease world-wide in 2012 [1]. One of the most serious threats to the successful control of malaria is the emergence of parasites that are resistant to antimalarial drugs. The World Health Organization currently recommends artemisinin-based combination therapies (ACTs) as the first-line treatment for uncomplicated malaria. However, there is great concern that artemisinin-resistant *Plasmodium falciparum* parasites have emerged in the Cambodia/Thailand border region, where parasites resistant to other antimalarial drugs also originated [2-6]. These ACT “resistant” strains are characterized by a delay in the time it takes parasites to clear from the body following treatment [7].

For many anti-malarial drugs such as chloroquine and pyrimethamine/sulfadoxine, the genetic mutations that underlie resistance have been largely elucidated. These mutations can be used as molecular markers to monitor the appearance and geographical spread of resistant parasites. For artemisinin and its derivatives, a genetic region associated with a delay in parasite clearance following ACT treatment was identified in 2012 [8]. Soon after, four single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) linked to the delayed clearance phenotype were identified on chromosomes 10, 13, and 14 [9]. Two of these, MAL10-688956 (A) and MAL13-1718319 (T), were proposed to be suitable molecular markers for the resistance phenotype.

MAL10-688956 is located on chromosome 10 in the 3' untranslated region of the DNA polymerase delta catalytic subunit gene and MAL13-1718319 is in a RAD5 homolog. These two proteins are thought to be involved in post-replication repair [9].
In particular, RAD5 is a DNA clamp that is involved in the DNA damage tolerance pathway which promotes the repair of discontinuities [11-13]. In yeast, mutations in this gene have been implicated in cell cycle arrest [13, 14] and thus, a similar role may be expected in *P. falciparum* [15], which might lead to delayed clearance following artemisinin treatment.

We have previously analysed 53 travellers’ malaria samples collected from patients that had returned to Scotland from 11 African and nine South-eastern Asia/Oceania countries. We found that two samples harboured the delayed-clearance associated SNPs in both MAL10-688956 and MAL13-1718319 [16]. These two isolates were obtained from Thailand and Cambodia, the epicentre of the apparent emergence of resistance to artemisinin as well as to other antimalarial drugs [4, 17, 18]. This finding supports the notion that SNPs MAL10-688956 and MAL13-1718319 could be applicable as molecular markers for the surveillance of artemisinin resistance.

However, the delayed-clearance associated SNPs are also found in several *P. falciparum* laboratory maintained clones, e.g., V1/S, IT, 106/1, and FCR3 (MAL10-688956-A) and V1/S and IT (MAL13-1718319-T), which were isolated from patients from diverse geographic regions and well before the first reports of artemisinin resistance. This suggests that the two proposed SNPs might be widely distributed, and their selection unrelated to ACT pressure [9]. Thus, we consider that robust information on the global prevalence of these SNPs before the widespread implementation of ACTs would provide the baseline data necessary to infer whether they are, indeed, reliable markers for the spread of ACT resistance.

Here, we determine the distribution of delayed-clearance associated SNPs MAL10-688956 and MAL13-1718319 using a large number of *P. falciparum* isolates
from East/West Africa, Asia, Pacific Oceania and South America. All samples were obtained before the first report of the emergence of artemisinin resistance [2]. We report the complete absence of delayed-clearance associated SNPs in parasites collected from South America and Africa. Delayed-clearance associated SNPs were found singularly in a number of samples from areas outside Africa and South America, but only parasites from Cambodia and Thailand harboured both SNPs simultaneously.

2. Material and methods

2.1 Study Sites

Blood samples were obtained from *P. falciparum*–infected patients in all age groups, unless otherwise stated, living in 13 malaria-endemic countries as follows (Table 1):

1. Bangladesh: Samples were collected from patients infected with *P. falciparum* in the Bandarban district hospital in 2007. This study was approved by the Bangladesh Medical Research Council and the local health regulatory body in Bandarban, Bangladesh [19].

2. Cambodia: Samples were collected from *P. falciparum* infected individuals during a cross-sectional survey of rural villages in Chumkiri, Kampot province in 2004, 2005 and 2006. The study was approved by the National Center for Parasitology, Entomology, and Malaria Control of Cambodia [20].

3. Thailand: Samples were collected from patients infected with *P. falciparum* at town clinics located in the western border of Tak, Kanchanaburi, and Ratchaburi provinces from 2001 to 2002. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Tropical Medicine, Mahidol University.
4. Lao People’s Democratic Republic (Lao PDR): Samples were collected from *P. falciparum* infected individuals during cross-sectional surveys of rural villages in Khammouan province in 1999. The study was approved by the Laos Ministry of Health [21].

5. Philippines: Samples were collected from patients infected with *P. falciparum* in hospitals on Palawan Island in 1997. This study was approved by the Palawan Provincial Health Office [22].

6. Papua New Guinea: Samples were collected from *P. falciparum* infected individuals at villages in Dagua district, East Sepik in 2002 and 2003. The study was approved by the National Department of Health Medical Research Advisory Committee of Papua New Guinea.

7. Solomon Islands: Samples were collected from *P. falciparum* infected individuals during cross-sectional surveys in northeastern Guadalcanal Island from 1995 to 1996. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Solomon Islands for Medical Research.

8. Vanuatu: Samples were collected from *P. falciparum* infected individuals during cross-sectional surveys in rural villages located on 4 islands; Gaua, Santo, Pentecost and Malakula, in 1996 and 1998. The study was approved by the Vanuatu Department of Health [23].

9. Kenya: Samples were collected from *P. falciparum* infected individuals during cross-sectional surveys at 4 villages in Kisii District in 1998. The study was approved by the Kenyan Ministry of Health and Education [24].

10. Tanzania: Samples were collected from *P. falciparum* infected individuals during cross-sectional surveys in the Rufiji River Delta in eastern coastal Tanzania in
11. Republic of the Congo: Samples were collected from patients with *P. falciparum* in Pointe-Noire, Brazzaville, and Gamboma in 2006. The study was approved by the Ministry of Research and Ministry of Health of the Republic of the Congo [26].

12. Ghana: Samples were collected from *P. falciparum* infected children during cross-sectional surveys in 3 villages near Winneba, a western coastal region, in 2004. This study was approved by the Ministry of Health/Ghana Health Service.

13. Brazil: Samples were collected from *P. falciparum* infected individuals in the eastern part of Acre state in 1985–1986, 1999, and 2004–2005. The study protocol was approved by the ethics review board of the Institute of Biomedical Sciences, University of São Paulo.

All studies were conducted before the official implementation of ACT except in Cambodia and Thailand, and before the first official report of artemisinin resistance [2]. Finger-prick blood samples were collected and transferred on filter paper (ET31CHR; Whatman) in the all studied regions except Thailand in which venous blood samples were used. Parasite DNA was purified using a QIAamp DNA blood mini kit (QIAGEN) or the EZ1 BioRobot™ (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions. In all study sites, informed consent was obtained from individual patients or their guardians and antimalarial treatment was provided if necessary.

2.2 Determination of polymorphisms in MAL10-688956 and MAL13-1718319

Nested polymerase chain reaction (PCR) for Plasmodium species typing was conducted to confirm the presence of *P. falciparum* parasites [27, 28]. All *P.
falciparum positive samples were assayed for the presence of SNPs in MAL10-688956 and MAL13-1718319 [9]. A PCR and restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) protocol was used as described on the worldwide antimalarial resistance network (WWARN) website: http://www.wwarn.org/toolkit/procedures with one modification (1.5 mM MgCl$_2$ in the nested PCR for MAL13-1718319). Briefly, genotyping was conducted by nested PCR followed by RFLP analysis. NsI (New England Biolabs), which digests the amplified product when the allele (T, non-delayed clearance associated SNP) is present at the polymorphic site, was used for MAL10-688956. Msl I (New England Biolabs), which produces two digested products when the allele (A, non-delayed clearance associated SNP) is present, was used for MAL13-1718319. In all undigested samples, in order to confirm the presence of delayed-clearance associated SNP, nested PCR amplicons were purified with ExoSAP - IT Kit (Amersham Biosciences, Buckinghamshire, UK) and were directly sequenced (50 cycles of 95 °C for 20 sec, 50 °C for 30 sec, and 60 °C for 1 min) in one direction using the reverse primer of the nested PCR (TTATATGTAATGGGTGAAAAGAATGTGG) with a BigDye Terminator 1.1 cycle sequencing kit in the Applied Biosystems 3500xL genetic analyzer (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, California, U.S.).

2.3 Microsatellite analysis

Ten neutral microsatellite markers with no evidence of genetic hitchhiking were genotyped to examine the possibility that linkage disequilibrium is found between evolutionary neutral markers in the Cambodian parasite population. The loci used were as follows: TA42 and TA81 (chromosome 5), TA1, TA87, and TA109.
(chromosome 6), TA60 and 2490 (chromosome 10), and ARA2 (chromosome 11), and Pfg377 and PfPK2 (chromosome 12). These markers were amplified by semi-nested PCR using fluorescent end-labelled primers as previously described [29]. Size variations of the amplified products were determined by electrophoresis on a DNA sequencer and analysed with GeneScan software (Applied Biosystems). Samples with minor peaks at least 50% in peak height compared to the major peak were considered mixed genotype, and were excluded from the analysis.

2.4 Statistical analysis

$D'$ and $r^2$ were measured to assess potential linkage disequilibrium between delayed-clearance associated SNPs [30, 31]. Linkage disequilibrium for all pairs of ten microsatellite loci was also examined using Genepop version 4.1 under the following Markov chain parameters: dememorization number = 20000, number of batches = 500, and number of iterations per batch = 10000. The significance of linkage disequilibrium was assessed using the two-tailed Chi-squared test. $P < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1 MAL10-688956

Among a total of 653 $P. falciparum$ isolates, we successfully determined allele types for 637 isolates (98%) at MAL10-688956 (Figure 1.A). The overall prevalence of the delayed-clearance associated SNP was 8% (52/637). Ten isolates (2%) were found to be dimorphic with both T and A nucleotides present at this position, indicating a mixed infection. The delayed-clearance associated SNP was not detected in any isolates.
from Africa or South America, but was prevalent in Cambodia (38%) and Thailand (26%). In both countries, ACTs were already in use as first-line therapy for uncomplicated malaria when the samples were obtained. However, there were very few cases of the presence of this delayed-clearance associated SNP in neighbouring countries, Laos PDR (0%) and Bangladesh (2%). Notably, unexpectedly high prevalences of the delayed-clearance associated SNP was observed in Pacific countries, 14% in Papua New Guinea, 18% in Vanuatu and 2% in the Solomon Islands. In these regions, artemisinin or its derivatives were not implemented at the time of sampling.

3.2 MAL13-1718319

We successfully genotyped MAL13-1718319 in 637 isolates (98%) (Figure 1.B). The delayed-clearance associated SNP (T) was observed in only 18 isolates (3%), none of which were from Africa or South America. This SNP was almost exclusively confined to samples from Cambodia and Thailand, with the exception of one sample from Papua New Guinea, which harboured both alleles.

3.3 Combinations of delayed-clearance associated SNPs at MAL10-688956 and MAL13-1718319

Those samples harbouring a delayed-clearance associated SNP at both MAL10-688956 and MAL13-1718319 are shown in Figure 2. Isolates with mixed alleles at either locus (n = 11) were excluded. Among 615 isolates, eight isolates (1%) harboured delayed-clearance associated SNPs at both loci. Nearly all of these isolates (7/8) were localised in Cambodia, and one was from Thailand.
3.4 Analysis of linkage disequilibrium

Linkage disequilibrium (non-random association) between MAL10-688956 and MAL13-1718319 was observed only in Cambodia (D’ = 0.5174 and $r^2 = 0.1628$) (Table 2) with statistical significance ($p = 0.0146$, Chi-square test). We then assessed the existence of linkage disequilibrium between ten putatively neutral microsatellite loci to clarify whether the observed linkage disequilibrium was an inherent feature of the Cambodian parasite population. Among a total of 36 Cambodian isolates, 25 showed multiple alleles at at least one microsatellite locus and were excluded from this analysis (Table S1). Analysis of linkage disequilibrium between each microsatellite locus produced 45 comparisons, but two results were not obtained because only one allele combination was observed (Figure S1). No linkage disequilibrium was observed in the remaining 43 comparisons with the smallest $p$ value 0.18 (comparison between TA60 and TA42), which indicates that linkage disequilibrium is not an inherent feature of the Cambodian isolate population. Rather, the observed linkage disequilibrium may be produced by the selection of parasites that harbour both delayed-clearance associated SNPs.

4. Discussion

We assessed the distribution of SNPs at MAL10-688956 and MAL13-1718319 using a large number of archived worldwide *P. falciparum* isolates prior to the reported emergence of ACT resistance [2]. Parasites harbouring a combination of two delayed-clearance associated SNPs (A allele in MAL10-688956 and T allele in MAL13-1718319) were found exclusively in Thailand and Cambodia. In both countries,
ACT was already implemented when our sampling was carried out. This may be due to the fact that there were no clear criteria for assessing ACT ‘resistance’ until the mid-2000s [32] and thus, the potential emergence of ACT-resistant parasites might have been missed at the time our sampling was carried out. Additionally/alternatively, delayed-clearance associated SNPs at MAL10-688956 and MAL13-1718319 alone may not be sufficient for the acquirement of the ‘resistance’ phenotype; rather, these polymorphisms might be necessary prior to the attainment of ‘resistance’ through mutation elsewhere in the genome. A similar mechanism is thought to be involved in the attainment of resistance to pyrimethamine/sulfadoxine. Amino acid substitutions at position 108 in the dihydrofolate reductase gene and at position 437 in dihydropteroate synthase gene are not, in themselves, sufficient to confer a high degree of in vivo resistance, but they are required as an initial step for the further acquisition of other mutations in these genes that eventually result in pyrimethamine and sulfadoxine resistance, respectively [33, 34]. It is possible that resistance to artemisinin would require mutations in more than one gene in the parasite’s genome. Therefore, one or more undetermined gene(s) that were not identified in the previous genome-wide association study by Takala-Harrison et al [9] might be required for the ‘resistance’ phenotype. A recent deep whole-genome sequencing study has indicated that linkage disequilibrium decayed within 1 kb [35]. Hence, as noted by the authors, the SNP markers used for the previous genome-wide association study, 7 kb apart on average, may not be enough to detect all loci associated with parasite clearance [9]. The delayed-clearance associated SNP combination was mainly distributed in Cambodia and these SNPs were significant linked. Since the two SNPs are located on different chromosomes (10 and 13), physical linkage does not explain the observed
linkage disequilibrium. Thus, the following two mechanisms are proposed for the observed linkage disequilibrium; (1) low diversity within the Cambodian population, leading to the signature of linkage disequilibrium between markers separated by large physical distances on the genome (2) natural selection of a particular allele combination that has a sufficient selective advantage over others [36]. To test the former possibility, we examined linkage disequilibrium using 10 microsatellite markers that are thought to be selectively neutral. We did not find linkage disequilibrium between any of the possible pairs of microsatellite loci, suggesting that the observed linkage disequilibrium between two delayed-clearance associated SNPs is not the result of low diversity within the Cambodian parasite population. Hence, it is probable that the observed linkage disequilibrium is a result of selective pressure favouring parasites with both delayed-clearance associated SNPs. The continuous use of artemisinin in this area is one candidate for possible selecting factors.

We found no evidence for the existence of delayed-clearance associated SNPs at either MAL10-688956 or MAL13-1718319 in Africa or South America. All the samples from African regions were collected between 1998 and 2006, at the time period before the wide-scale implementation of artemisinin derivatives. Practically, the development of a credible molecular marker of ACT resistance is urgently required, particularly in sub-Saharan Africa. Since many individuals living in this region develop protective immunity to malaria after repeated infections, this immunity would enhance the effectiveness of antimalarial drugs [37]. As a result, these patients may respond to antimalarial drugs even if they are infected with drug-resistant parasites [38-40] and, in such cases, it would be impossible to monitor the emergence of artemisinin-resistant parasites based on clinical information alone [16]. In this regard,
the absence of either delayed-clearance associated SNPs before wide-scale implementation of artemisinin derivatives in Africa suggests that assessment of MAL10-688956 or MAL13-1718319 may allow the detection of the emergence of artemisinin resistance before the appearance of clinical failure cases.

The following points, however, should be further considered for the application of MAL10-688956 and MAL13-1718319 as molecular markers for the surveillance of the emergence of ACT resistance. In the Pacific region, prevalences of the MAL10-688956 delayed-clearance associated SNP were unexpectedly high, although nearly all parasites harbored the non-delayed clearance associated SNP at MAL13-1718319. Artemisinin combination therapies were not implemented at the time of sampling in any of the countries considered here. Hence, it seems likely that the MAL10-688956 delayed-clearance associated SNP is a parasite polymorphism that exists naturally in the parasite populations of this region, and was not, initially, selected by ACT pressure. The other possibility is the migration of the MAL10-688956 delayed-clearance associated SNP from Southeast Asia. However, since the prevalences of this SNP were high, this may be unlikely, especially in the absence of ACT selection pressure. Further analysis using microsatellite alleles flanking the SNP will clarify the possible migration of from the MAL10-688956 SNP to Southeast Asia.

Recently, another artemisinin-resistance related marker, PF3D7_1343700 kelch propeller domain (‘K13-propeller’) gene, has been identified using whole-genome sequencing of an artemisinin-resistant parasite line [41]. A number of SNPs (at least 17) were described in the propeller domains of K13, some of which might be predictive SNPs of resistance in different geographical settings. This marker may
prove more suitable as a molecular marker for ACT resistant parasites than the two described here.

5. Conclusions

Parasites bearing delayed-clearance associated SNPs at both MAL10-688956 and MAL13-1718319 are localised to Cambodia and Thailand. Both the delayed-clearance associated SNPs were entirely absent from Africa or South America prior to the introduction of ACTs as first-line treatments for malaria in these countries. This study provides baseline information for geographical differences in the distribution of malaria parasites carrying delayed-clearance associated SNPs at both MAL10-688956 and MAL13-1718319, and so lays the groundwork for developing molecular markers for monitoring the emergence and spread of ACT resistance.
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Figure legends
Figure 1. Geographical distribution of Plasmodium falciparum SNPs associated with delayed clearance following ACT treatment at (A) MAL10-688956 (n=637) and (B) MAL13-1718319 (n=637) in 13 countries is shown. At MAL10-688956 genotypes are classified delayed-clearance associated SNP (dark green), non-delayed clearance associated SNP (white), and mixture of two SNPs (yellow-green). At MAL13-1718319, genotypes consist of, delayed-clearance associated SNP (dark blue), non-delayed clearance associated SNP (white), and mixture of two SNPs (light blue).
Figure 2. Geographical distribution of allele combinations of MAL10-688956 and MAL13-1718319 in 13 countries is shown (n=615). MAL10-688956 delayed-clearance associated SNP + MAL13-1718319 delayed-clearance associated SNP (red), MAL10-688956 delayed-clearance associated SNP + MAL13-1718319 non-delayed clearance associated SNP (green), MAL10-688956 non-delayed clearance associated SNP + MAL13-1718319 delayed-clearance associated SNP (black) and MAL10-688956 non-delayed clearance associated SNP + MAL13-1718319 non-delayed clearance associated SNP (white). Isolates harbouring mixed genotypes at either MAL10-688956 or MAL13-1718319 were excluded.

Supplementary data

Figure S1. Linkage disequilibrium between 10 microsatellite loci was assessed to clarify whether linkage disequilibrium was observed in Cambodia. $P$ values of each comparison are shown.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Area</th>
<th>No. of isolates</th>
<th>Year of sampling</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Southeast Asia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bangladesh</td>
<td>Bandarban</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>Tak, Kanchanaburi, Ratchaburi</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2001-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LaoPDR</td>
<td>Khammouanne</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>Palawan Island</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific Oceania</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solomon Islands</td>
<td>Guadalcanal Island</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>1995-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Africa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>Kisii</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Republic of Congo</td>
<td>Pointe-Noire, Brazzaville, Gamboma</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ghana</td>
<td>Winneba</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South America</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>653</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Linkage disequilibrium in the *Plasmodium falciparum* between MAL10-688956 and MAL13-1718319 in Bangladesh, Cambodia and Thailand.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>D'</th>
<th>r²</th>
<th>p value&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bangladesh</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.00016</td>
<td>0.8491</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cambodia</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>0.5174</td>
<td>0.1629</td>
<td>0.0146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>0.3231</td>
<td>0.00561</td>
<td>0.6074</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND, not determined.

<sup>a</sup> χ² test.

Isolates in which allele types were not determined were excluded (n=4), and then isolates harbouring mixed SADCAT/non-SADCAT in either MAL10-688956 or MAL13-1718319 were excluded (n= 8).
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